Photomodulated molecular recognition of the guanidinium cation†

Christopher A. Hunter,**a* **Mahmut Togrul***b* **and Salvador Tomas***a*

a Centre for Chemical Biology, Krebs Institute for Biomolecular Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK S3 7HF

b University of Dicle, Faculty of Science and Art, Chemistry Department, TR 21280 Diyarbak, Turkey

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 11th September 2003, Accepted 17th October 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 7th November 2003

Azobenzene moieties were incorporated into a synthetic receptor allowing its affinity for the guanidinium cation to be modulated ten-fold by photoirradiation and/or heating.

The search for new ways to manipulate matter at the molecular level has resulted in an increase in systems that can act as molecular switches in response to a variety of stimuli. The potential applications range from information technology,¹ to the design of complex molecular systems that reproduce some aspects of the action of macroscopic motors or machines.2,3 Molecular switches that respond to light are most interesting, because photochemical reactions present distinct advantages over other forms of transformation, that may depend on the accessibility of reactants to the reaction centre and will in most cases produce secondary waste products.4–6 Photoisomerisation of azobenzene has been thoroughly studied and is specially suited for such applications.7–10

Here we use the photochemical properties of azobenzene to modulate host–guest recognition by H-bonding interactions. Using molecular models, we designed the guanidinium receptor shown in Fig. 1.11,12 There are two photoisomerisable azobenzene moieties each bearing a carboxylate group as the recognition motif. The isophthaloyl spacer was chosen so that in the *Z*,*Z* form of the receptor, **1***Z*, both carboxylate groups should be able to make simultaneous H-bonds to the guest. This is not possible in either the *E,Z* mixed form, **1***M* or the *E*,*E* form, **1***E*, and so these should display a lower affinity for guanidinium (Fig. 1).

Synthesis of the receptor was carried out as follows. Azobenzene **2** was obtained by reaction of methyl 4-aminobenzoate with sodium nitrite, followed by addition of 2,6-dimethylaniline to the resulting diazonium salt. Reaction of an excess of **2** with isophthaloyl acid

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: UV/visible absorption spectra of **1**, showing changes observed on irradiation at 345 nm and thermal recovery of the original spectrum. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/cc/b3/b311060e/

dichloride, followed by hydrolysis with KOH in EtOH–H2O gave the desired compound **1** in 20% overall yield. 1H NMR spectroscopy showed that the major isomer obtained is $1E$ ($> 95\%$). Model compound **3** was synthesised in the same way: condensation of **2** with 4-*t*-butylbenzoyl chloride, followed by hydrolysis with KOH in EtOH–H2O (Scheme 1). Again, exclusively the *trans* isomer of **3** was obtained (**3***E*).

UV/visible absorption spectra of **1** in DMSO show one band with a maximum at 345 nm and a second much less intense band at 454 nm. Upon irradiation at 345 nm, the 345 nm band decreases in intensity, whilst the minor band becomes more intense and experiences a bathochromic shift. When the sample is stored in the dark at room temperature, the original spectrum is eventually restored (see supplementary material). This behaviour is indicative of an *E*–*Z* photoisomerisation followed by thermal back conversion.8 Irradiation of a more concentrated sample in a photoreactor allowed us to corroborate this observation by 1H NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 2).‡

The molecular recognition properties of the system were investigated using 1H NMR titrations. Guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl) was titrated into model compound **3***E* in DMSO-d6, and the data were fit to a 1 : 1 binding isotherm, giving an association constant of 1700 ± 200 M⁻¹. Then the titration was carried out with **1***E*, and the data could again be fit to a 1 : 1 model giving an association constant of 2200 ± 250 M⁻¹. Although more complex equilibria are possible in this system (GuHCl has three binding sites and **1** has two), if all of the association constants are comparable, a simple 1 : 1 model should behave well, and the similarity in the values of the association constants obtained for **1***E* and **3***E* suggests that this is the case.

Irradiation of a sample of **1***E* gave a mixture of all three azobenzene isomers **1***Z*, **1***M* and **1***E* in a 1 : 1 : 1 ratio, but it proved difficult to isolate the pure **1***Z* and **1***M* forms. However, the 1H NMR signals due to the three compounds are well-resolved, so it is possible to independently monitor the interactions of all three

Scheme 1

isomers with GuHCl in the mixture (Fig. 2). Representative data for the signals due to the aromatic proton labelled * in Scheme 1 are shown in Fig. 3 together with the corresponding fits to 1 : 1 binding isotherms. The association constant for $1E$ is $1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹, in good agreement with the value obtained for pure **1***E*. The association constant for 1*M* is similar (1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10³ M⁻¹), but the value obtained for **1***Z* is significantly larger ($2 \pm 1 \times 10^4$ M⁻¹) as expected from the shape of the titration curve shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 2 Aliphatic region of the 1H NMR spectrum of **1** in DMSO-d6, showing how the composition changes on irradiation or heating.

Fig. 3 Titration of a 0.5 mM mixture $1E : 1M : 1Z(1 : 1 : 1)$ with GuHCl in DMSO-d6. The lines represent fits to a 1 : 1 binding isotherm.

Given the complexity of the system, these association constants should be taken as approximations. The guanidinium cation has three binding sites and **1** has two, so a range of higher order open and closed assemblies are possible. However, the behaviour of **1***Z* is clearly qualitatively different from the other two isomers. It has a significantly higher affinity for GuHCl, and the increase in the association constant is good evidence for the simultaneous cooperative interaction of both carboxylate groups with the guanidinium cation in the **1***Z* complex illustrated in Fig. 1.

This result shows that it is possible to use light to modulate the binding of **1** to GuHCl by approximately one order of magnitude by irradiation at the appropriate wavelength. This approach can now be incorporated into more complicated systems, where control of the interaction with guanidinium provides us with new possibilities for manipulating structures at molecular level.

Notes and references

‡ A Hitachi F-4500 fluorimeter was used for the irradiation of samples at µM concentrations. A photochemical reactor equipped with Rayonet 3500A lamps (max. at 350 nm) was used for the irradiation of samples at mM concentrations.

- 1 C. P. Collier, J. O. Jeppesen, Y. Luo, J. Perkins, E. W. Wong, J. R. Heath and J. F. Stoddart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 12632.
- 2 J.-P. Collin, C. Dietrich-Buchecker, P. Gavina, M. C. Jimenez-Molero and J.-P. Sauvage, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 477.
- 3 D. A. Leigh, J. K. Y. Wong, F. Dehez and F. Zerbetto, *Nature*, 2003, **424**, 175.
- 4 R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, A. Credi, M. T. Gandolfi and M. Venturi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 445.
- 5 N. Koumura, R. W. J. Zijistra, R. A. Van Delden, N. Harada and B. L. Feringa, *Nature*, 1999, **401**, 152.
- 6 T. R. Kelly, H. De Silva and R. A. Silva, *Nature*, 1999, **401**, 150.
- 7 F. Würthner and J. Rebek, Jr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1995, **34**, 446.
- 8 S. Shinkai, in *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*, Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 1996, **Vol. 38**, pp. 671–700.
- 9 T. Schultz, J. Quenneville, B. Levine, A. Toniolo, T. J. Martinez, S. Lochbrunner, M. Schmitt, J. P. Shaffer, M. Z. Zgierski and A. Stolow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 8098.
- 10 I. A. Banerjee, L. Yu and H. Matsui, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 9542.
- 11 E. Fan, S. A. Van Arman, S. Kincaid and A. D. Hamilton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 369.
- 12 A. Echavarren, A. Galan, J.-M. Lehn and J. De Mendoza, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 4994.